

CRITICAL PAIRS OF SEQUENCES OF A MIXED FRAME POTENTIAL

IVANA CARRIZO* AND SIGRID HEINEKEN†

ABSTRACT. The classical frame potential in a finite dimensional Hilbert space has been introduced by Benedetto and Fickus, who showed that all finite unit-norm tight frames can be characterized as the minimizers of this energy functional. This was the start point of a series of new results in frame theory, related to finding tight frames with determined length. The frame potential has been studied in the traditional setting as well as in the finite-dimensional fusion frame context. In this work we introduce the concept of *mixed frame potential*, which generalizes the notion of the Benedetto-Fickus frame potential. We study properties of this new potential, and give the structure of its critical pairs of sequences on a suitable restricted domain. For a given sequence $\{\alpha_m\}_{m=1,\dots,N}$ in K , where K is \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} , we obtain necessary and sufficient conditions in order to have a dual pair of frames $\{f_m\}_{m=1,\dots,N}$, $\{g_m\}_{m=1,\dots,N}$ such that $\langle f_m, g_m \rangle = \alpha_m$ for all $m = 1, \dots, N$.

Key words: Finite frames, frame potential, dual frames, Lagrange multipliers.

AMS subject classification: Primary: 42C15, 42C99, 42C40.

1. INTRODUCTION

Frames, which were introduced by Duffin and Schaeffer in [11], became essential for engineering and applied mathematics, specially for the purpose of signal processing and data transmission. Given a Hilbert space \mathbb{H} , a sequence $\{f_m\} \subset \mathbb{H}$ is a *frame* if there exist positive constants A and B that satisfy

$$A\|f\|^2 \leq \sum_m |\langle f, f_m \rangle|^2 \leq B\|f\|^2 \quad \forall f \in \mathbb{H}.$$

If $A = B$ it is called a *tight frame*.

The main property of frames is that they provide reconstruction formulae where the coefficients are not necessarily unique, which is advantageous in situations that arise in signal processing [1]. Particular frames such as wavelet and Gabor frames are described e.g. in [14], [9], [10], [6].

* NuHAG, Department of Mathematics, University of Vienna, Nordbergstrasse 15, A-1090 Vienna, Austria. E-mail: ivana.carrizo@univie.ac.at.

† Departamento de Matemática, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Pabellón I, Ciudad Universitaria, C1428EGA C.A.B.A., and IMAS, CONICET, Argentina. E-mail: sheinek@dm.uba.ar.

Correspondence to: Sigrid Heineken, Departamento de Matemática, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Pabellón I, Ciudad Universitaria, C1428EGA C.A.B.A., Argentina, tel/fax: +541145763335, E-mail: sheinek@dm.uba.ar, sigrid.heineken@gmail.com.

Finite frames are used in many applications, where we often have to work in finite dimensional spaces, since they avoid the approximation problems that come up by truncating infinite frames. They have been studied for example in [2], [3], [12]. In particular, finite tight frames are very useful to solve problems in Communication Theory, Information Theory, Sampling Theory, etc. [15], since the convergence of the provided decompositions is fast. The *frame potential* in \mathbb{H}_d^N - where \mathbb{H}_d is a finite dimensional Hilbert space - introduced in [2] by Benedetto and Fickus- turned out to be an important tool in frame theory. In our work we define a new concept of potential in $\mathbb{H}_d^N \times \mathbb{H}_d^N$. Whereas the Benedetto-Fickus potential measures the orthogonality of a system of vectors, our *mixed frame potential* quantifies in some sense the biorthogonality of two systems of vectors.

In [3] and [4], the problem of finding tight frames with a prescribed norm is analyzed, which is related to the minimization of the Benedetto-Fickus frame potential. The Benedetto-Fickus frame potential has been also studied in the finite-dimensional fusion frame setting [5], [13].

Given a sequence $\{\alpha_m\}_{m=1,\dots,N}$, we study the mixed frame potential restricted to the pairs $(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N)$ such that $\langle f_m, g_m \rangle = \alpha_m$, and describe the critical pairs of sequences of this restricted potential. This turned out to be related to finding dual pairs of frames that satisfy $\langle f_m, g_m \rangle = \alpha_m$.

The paper is organized as follows. In the following section we give definitions and preliminaries that we will use later. In section 3 we present some properties of the mixed frame potential. Section 4 is devoted to characterize the structure of the critical pairs of sequences of the mixed frame potential, which leads to the result about necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of dual frames with prescribed scalar products.

2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let K be \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} and \mathbb{H}_d a d -dimensional Hilbert space over K . Let $\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N$ and $\{g_m\}_{m=1}^N$ be sequences in \mathbb{H}_d . The synthesis operator for $\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N$ is given by

$$T : K^N \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_d, \quad T(\{c_m\}_{m=1}^N) = \sum_{m=1}^N c_m f_m$$

and the analysis operator for $\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N$ by

$$T^* : \mathbb{H}_d \rightarrow K^N, \quad T^*(f) = \{\langle f, f_m \rangle\}_{m=1}^N.$$

We will denote with U and U^* the synthesis and respectively analysis operator of $\{g_m\}_{m=1}^N$. We denominate TU^* and UT^* the *mixed frame operators*:

For $f \in \mathbb{H}_d$ we have

$$TU^*(f) = \sum_{m=1}^N \langle f, g_m \rangle f_m, \quad \text{and} \quad UT^*(f) = \sum_{m=1}^N \langle f, f_m \rangle g_m. \quad (1)$$

Two sequences $\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N$ and $\{g_m\}_{m=1}^N$ are *dual frames* if

$$f = \sum_{m=1}^N \langle f, g_m \rangle f_m \quad \forall f \in \mathbb{H}_d \text{ or } f = \sum_{m=1}^N \langle f, f_m \rangle g_m \quad \forall f \in \mathbb{H}_d. \quad (2)$$

In terms of the operators T and U , (2) means that $TU^* = I$ or $UT^* = I$.

Definition 1. Let $\widetilde{FP} : \mathbb{H}_d^N \times \mathbb{H}_d^N \longrightarrow K$,

$$\widetilde{FP}(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N) = \sum_{m=1}^N \sum_{n=1}^N \langle f_m, g_n \rangle \langle f_n, g_m \rangle.$$

We call \widetilde{FP} the *mixed frame potential* of $(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N) \in \mathbb{H}_d^N \times \mathbb{H}_d^N$.

Observe that for the case that $\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N = \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N$, the mixed frame potential is equal to

$$FP(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N) = \sum_{m=1}^N \sum_{n=1}^N |\langle f_m, f_n \rangle|^2,$$

which is the traditional Benedetto-Fickus frame potential of $\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N$.

Given a sequence $\{\alpha_m\}_{m=1}^N \subset K$ we define

$$\tilde{S}(\{\alpha_m\}_{m=1}^N) = \{(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N) \in \mathbb{H}_d^N \times \mathbb{H}_d^N : \langle f_m, g_m \rangle = \alpha_m \quad \forall m = 1, \dots, N\}.$$

3. MIXED FRAME POTENTIAL

We will see next that the mixed frame potential can also be written as the trace of the square of the corresponding mixed frame operator, i.e. it is the square of the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of the mixed frame operator.

Lemma 1. *For any pair $(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N) \in \mathbb{H}_d^N \times \mathbb{H}_d^N$ with corresponding mixed frame operator TU^* ,*

$$\widetilde{FP}(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N) = \text{Tr}((TU^*)^2) = \sum_{n=1}^d \lambda_n^2 \quad (3)$$

where $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^d$ are the eigenvalues of TU^* .

Proof. Let $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^d$ be an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{H}_d .

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{FP}(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N) &= \sum_{m=1}^N \sum_{n=1}^N \langle f_m, g_n \rangle \langle f_n, g_m \rangle \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^N \sum_{n=1}^N \left\langle \sum_{l=1}^d \langle f_m, e_l \rangle e_l, g_n \right\rangle \langle f_n, g_m \rangle \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^N \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{l=1}^d \langle f_m, e_l \rangle \langle e_l, g_n \rangle \langle f_n, g_m \rangle \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^d \left\langle \sum_{n=1}^N \langle e_l, g_n \rangle f_n, \sum_{m=1}^N \langle e_l, f_m \rangle g_m \right\rangle \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^d \langle TU^* e_l, UT^* e_l \rangle = \sum_{l=1}^d \langle (UT^*)^* TU^* e_l, e_l \rangle \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^d \langle (TU^*)^2 e_l, e_l \rangle = \text{Tr}((TU^*)^2)
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^d$ denote the eigenvalues of TU^* , counting multiplicities. Since the eigenvalues of $(TU^*)^2$ are $\{\lambda_n^2\}_{n=1}^d$ we have that

$$\text{Tr}((TU^*)^2) = \sum_{n=1}^d \lambda_n^2.$$

□

Remark 1. Observe that

$$\widetilde{FP}(\{g_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{f_m\}_{m=1}^N) = \text{Tr}((U^*T)^2) = \sum_{n=1}^d \overline{\lambda_n}^2.$$

Note that the previous result allows to compute the mixed frame potential very easily for example for a pair $(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N)$ such that $TU^* = A \text{Id}$ with $A \in K$. In this case, $\widetilde{FP}(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N) = A^2 d$.

Also, the previous representation of the mixed frame potential allows us to study in more detail some of its properties, as we will see in the following proposition.

Proposition 1. *Let $\{\alpha_m\}_{m=1}^N \subset K$ and $(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N) \in \tilde{S}(\{\alpha_m\}_{m=1}^N)$.*

- (1) *If all the eigenvalues of TU^* are real, then $\widetilde{FP}(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N)$ and $\sum_{m=1}^N \alpha_m$ are real and*

$$\widetilde{FP}(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N) \geq \frac{1}{d} \left(\sum_{m=1}^N \alpha_m \right)^2.$$

(2) If all the eigenvalues of TU^* are imaginary, then $\widetilde{FP}(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N)$ is real and $\sum_{m=1}^N \alpha_m$ is imaginary and

$$\widetilde{FP}(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N) \leq \frac{1}{d} \left(\sum_{m=1}^N \alpha_m \right)^2$$

(3) If TU^* has only one eigenvalue, then

$$\widetilde{FP}(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N) = \frac{1}{d} \left(\sum_{m=1}^N \alpha_m \right)^2.$$

In particular, this happens if $TU^* = \frac{1}{d} \left(\sum_{m=1}^N \alpha_m \right) \text{Id}$.

Proof. By the preceding lemma we know that if $(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N) \in \mathbb{H}_d^N \times \mathbb{H}_d^N$,

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{FP}(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N) &= \sum_{n=1}^d \lambda_n^2 \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^d ((\text{Re}(\lambda_n))^2 - (\text{Im}(\lambda_n))^2) + 2i \sum_{n=1}^d \text{Re}(\lambda_n) \text{Im}(\lambda_n) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^d$ are the eigenvalues of TU^* .

Let $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^d$ be an orthonormal basis for \mathbb{H}_d . If $(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N) \in \tilde{S}(\{\alpha_m\}_{m=1}^N)$ the trace of the mixed frame operator satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^d \lambda_n &= \text{Tr}(TU^*) = \sum_{n=1}^d \langle TU^* e_n, e_n \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^d \left\langle \sum_{m=1}^N \langle e_n, g_m \rangle f_m, e_n \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^d \sum_{m=1}^N \langle e_n, g_m \rangle \langle f_m, e_n \rangle = \sum_{m=1}^N \sum_{n=1}^d \langle e_n, g_m \rangle \langle f_m, e_n \rangle \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^N \langle f_m, g_m \rangle = \sum_{m=1}^N \alpha_m. \end{aligned}$$

So, in order to study possible extrema for the real or the imaginary part of $\widetilde{FP} : \tilde{S}(\{\alpha_m\}_{m=1}^N) \rightarrow K$, we will first consider the critical points of the functions

$$\mathcal{R}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d) = \mathcal{R}(\text{Re}(\lambda_1), \dots, \text{Re}(\lambda_d), \text{Im}(\lambda_1), \dots, \text{Im}(\lambda_d)) = \sum_{n=1}^d (\text{Re}(\lambda_n))^2 - (\text{Im}(\lambda_n))^2$$

and

$$\mathcal{I}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d) = \mathcal{I}(\text{Re}(\lambda_1), \dots, \text{Re}(\lambda_d), \text{Im}(\lambda_1), \dots, \text{Im}(\lambda_d)) = 2 \sum_{n=1}^d \text{Re}(\lambda_n) \text{Im}(\lambda_n)$$

restricted to the set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}^d \simeq \mathbb{R}^{2d}$, where $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d) \in \Lambda$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^d \text{Re}(\lambda_n) = \text{Re} \left(\sum_{m=1}^N \alpha_m \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=1}^d \text{Im}(\lambda_n) = \text{Im} \left(\sum_{m=1}^N \alpha_m \right).$$

Using Lagrange multipliers for this constrained problem, we obtain that if

$(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d)$ is a critical point of \mathcal{R} or \mathcal{I} restricted to Λ , then

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_d = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{m=1}^N \alpha_m.$$

Furthermore, in this case it can be seen that

- (i) if $Im(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d) = 0$ then $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d)$ is a minimum of \mathcal{R} restricted to Λ and $\mathcal{I}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d) = 0$,
- (ii) if $Re(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d) = 0$ then $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d)$ is a maximum of \mathcal{R} restricted to Λ and $\mathcal{I}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d) = 0$,
- (iii) if $Re(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d) \neq 0$ and $Im(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d) \neq 0$, then $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d)$ is a saddle point of \mathcal{R} as well as of \mathcal{I} restricted to Λ .

Thus, for any $(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N) \in \tilde{S}(\{\alpha_m\}_{m=1}^N)$ such that all the eigenvalues of TU^* are real, we have that $\widetilde{FP}(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N)$ and $\sum_{m=1}^N \alpha_m$ are real and

$$\widetilde{FP}(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N) = \sum_{n=1}^d \lambda_n^2 \geq \frac{1}{d} \left(\sum_{m=1}^N \alpha_m \right)^2,$$

and for any $(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N) \in \tilde{S}(\{\alpha_m\}_{m=1}^N)$ such that all the eigenvalues of TU^* are imaginary, $\widetilde{FP}(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N)$ is real and $\sum_{m=1}^N \alpha_m$ is imaginary and

$$Im \left(\widetilde{FP}(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N) \right) = \sum_{n=1}^d \lambda_n^2 \leq \frac{1}{d} \left(\sum_{m=1}^N \alpha_m \right)^2.$$

If TU^* has only one eigenvalue λ , then $\lambda = \frac{1}{d} \left(\sum_{m=1}^N \alpha_m \right)$ since $\lambda \in \Lambda$, and so $\widetilde{FP}(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N) = \sum_{n=1}^d \lambda_n^2 = \frac{1}{d} \left(\sum_{m=1}^N \alpha_m \right)^2$. \square

Remark 2. Note that the bounds in (1) and (2) of Proposition 1 are not necessarily achieved, but are attained when TU^* has only one eigenvalue.

Our next step is to study critical pairs of sequences of our mixed frame potential.

4. CRITICAL PAIRS OF SEQUENCES OF THE MIXED FRAME POTENTIAL

We show now that if the mixed frame operator is the identity operator times a constant, then the sequence $\{\alpha_m\}_{m=1, \dots, N}$ satisfies an equality.

Proposition 2. *Let $(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N) \in \tilde{S}(\{\alpha_m\}_{m=1}^N)$ be such that $TU^* = A \text{Id}$ with $A \in K$. Then $\frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i = A$.*

Proof. Let $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^d$ be an orthonormal basis in \mathbb{H}_d . Since $A \text{Id} = TU^*$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{d} \sum_{m=1}^N \alpha_m &= \frac{1}{d} \sum_{m=1}^N \langle f_m, g_m \rangle = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{m=1}^N \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^d \langle f_m, e_j \rangle e_j, g_m \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{d} \sum_{m=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^d \langle f_m, e_j \rangle \langle e_j, g_m \rangle = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{j=1}^d A \langle e_j, e_j \rangle = A \end{aligned}$$

□

In order to state the following results we will need some definitions.

Let \mathcal{L} be a finite index set.

Definition 2. We call $\{f_m\}_{m \in \mathcal{L}} \subset \mathbb{H}_d$ and $\{g_m\}_{m \in \mathcal{L}} \subset \mathbb{H}_d$ *generalized biorthogonal sequences* if there exists $\{\alpha_m\}_{m \in \mathcal{L}} \subset K_{\neq 0}$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \langle f_n, g_m \rangle = 0, & \text{for all } n \neq m; \\ \langle f_m, g_m \rangle = \alpha_m, & \text{for all } m \in \mathcal{L}. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Definition 3. Let $A \in K$. We say $\{f_m\}_{m \in \mathcal{L}} \subset \mathbb{H}_d$ and $\{g_m\}_{m \in \mathcal{L}} \subset \mathbb{H}_d$ are *A-generalized dual frames* if

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{L}} \langle f, g_m \rangle f_m = Af, & \text{for all } f \in \text{span}\{f_m\}_{m \in \mathcal{L}} \text{ and} \\ \sum_{m \in \mathcal{L}} \langle f, f_m \rangle g_m = \bar{A}f, & \text{for all } f \in \text{span}\{g_m\}_{m \in \mathcal{L}}. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

In the following we will see that the critical points of the real or the imaginary part of the restricted mixed frame potential satisfy certain Lagrange equations.

Proposition 3. Let $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^N \subset K$. If $(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N)$ is a local extrema or a saddle point of the real or the imaginary part of the mixed frame potential $\widetilde{FP} : \tilde{S}(\{\alpha_m\}_{m=1}^N) \rightarrow K$, then for each $m = 1, \dots, N$ there exists $c \in K$ such that

$$\sum_{n=1, n \neq m}^N \langle f_m, g_n \rangle f_n = cf_m \text{ and } \sum_{n=1, n \neq m}^N \langle g_m, f_n \rangle g_n = \bar{c}g_m \quad (7)$$

Proof. Consider the m -th mixed frame potential denoted by \widetilde{FP}_m , where

$$\widetilde{FP}_m(f, g) = \langle f_m, g_m \rangle^2 + \sum_{n \neq m} \langle f_n, g \rangle \langle f, g_n \rangle + \widetilde{FP}(\{f_n\}_{n \neq m}, \{g_n\}_{n \neq m}).$$

Since $(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N)$ is a local extrema or a saddle point of the real or the imaginary part of the frame potential \widetilde{FP} restricted to $\tilde{S}(\{\alpha_m\}_{m=1}^N)$, we have that (f_m, g_m) is a local extrema or a saddle point of the real or the imaginary part of \widetilde{FP}_m in $S(\alpha_m) = \{(f, g) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} : \langle f, g \rangle = \alpha_m\}$, where

$$\widetilde{FP}_m(f, g) = \alpha_m^2 + \sum_{n \neq m} \langle f_n, g \rangle \langle f, g_n \rangle + \sum_{n=1, n \neq m}^N \sum_{r=1, r \neq m}^N \langle f_n, g_r \rangle \langle g_r, f_n \rangle.$$

Hence, the corresponding several variable constrained problem must be solved. Using Lagrange multipliers, it can be seen that there exist $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(I) \nabla Re(\widetilde{FP}_m)(f, g)|_{(f_m, g_m)} = c_1 \nabla Re(\langle f, g \rangle)|_{(f_m, g_m)} + c_2 \nabla Im(\langle f, g \rangle)|_{(f_m, g_m)}$$

or there exist $c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(II) \nabla Im(\widetilde{FP}_m)(f, g)|_{(f_m, g_m)} = c_3 \nabla Re(\langle f, g \rangle)|_{(f_m, g_m)} + c_4 \nabla Im(\langle f, g \rangle)|_{(f_m, g_m)}.$$

From (I) we have the following equations

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad & \nabla_{Re(f)} Re(\widetilde{FP}_m)(f, g)|_{(f_m, g_m)} = c_1 \nabla_{Re(f)} Re(\langle f, g \rangle)|_{(f_m, g_m)} + c_2 \nabla_{Re(f)} Im(\langle f, g \rangle)|_{(f_m, g_m)}, \\ (ii) \quad & \nabla_{Im(f)} Re(\widetilde{FP}_m)(f, g)|_{(f_m, g_m)} = c_1 \nabla_{Im(f)} Re(\langle f, g \rangle)|_{(f_m, g_m)} + c_2 \nabla_{Im(f)} Im(\langle f, g \rangle)|_{(f_m, g_m)}, \\ (iii) \quad & \nabla_{Re(g)} Re(\widetilde{FP}_m)(f, g)|_{(f_m, g_m)} = c_1 \nabla_{Re(g)} Re(\langle f, g \rangle)|_{(f_m, g_m)} + c_2 \nabla_{Re(g)} Im(\langle f, g \rangle)|_{(f_m, g_m)}, \\ (iv) \quad & \nabla_{Im(g)} Re(\widetilde{FP}_m)(f, g)|_{(f_m, g_m)} = c_1 \nabla_{Im(g)} Re(\langle f, g \rangle)|_{(f_m, g_m)} + c_2 \nabla_{Im(g)} Im(\langle f, g \rangle)|_{(f_m, g_m)}, \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from (i) and (ii)

$$\begin{aligned} Re \left(\sum_{n=1, n \neq m}^N \langle g_m, f_n \rangle g_n \right) &= c_1 Re(g_m) - c_2 Im(g_m), \\ Im \left(\sum_{n=1, n \neq m}^N \langle g_m, f_n \rangle g_n \right) &= c_1 Im(g_m) + c_2 Re(g_m) \end{aligned}$$

and from (iii) and (iv)

$$\begin{aligned} Re \left(\sum_{n=1, n \neq m}^N \langle f_m, g_n \rangle f_n \right) &= c_1 Re(f_m) + c_2 Im(f_m), \\ Im \left(\sum_{n=1, n \neq m}^N \langle f_m, g_n \rangle f_n \right) &= c_1 Im(f_m) - c_2 Re(f_m), \end{aligned}$$

which yields,

$$\sum_{n=1, n \neq m}^N \langle g_m, f_n \rangle g_n = c_1 g_m + ic_2 g_m = (c_1 + ic_2) g_m$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1, n \neq m}^N \langle f_m, g_n \rangle f_n = c_1 f_m - ic_2 f_m = (c_1 - ic_2) f_m,$$

so we obtain the desired result if we take $c = c_1 + ic_2$.

Observe that in a similar way we can obtain from (II) that

$$\sum_{n=1, n \neq m}^N \langle g_m, f_n \rangle g_n = (c_4 - ic_3) g_m$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1, n \neq m}^N \langle f_m, g_n \rangle f_n = (c_4 + ic_3)f_m,$$

which implies in particular that if $(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N)$ is a local extrema or a saddle point of the real and the imaginary part of the restricted mixed frame potential, then $c_4 = c_1$ and $c_3 = -c_2$. \square

Definition 4. Let $\{\alpha_m\}_{m=1}^N \subset K$. We say that $(\{f_n\}_{n=1}^N, \{g_n\}_{n=1}^N) \in \tilde{S}(\{\alpha_m\}_{m=1}^N)$ is a *critical pair of sequences* if for each $m = 1, \dots, N$ there exists $c \in K$ such that (7) is satisfied.

Now we are ready to provide a structure of these critical pairs of sequences:

Theorem 1. Let $\{\alpha_m\}_{m=1}^N \subset K$. If $(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N)$ is a critical pair of sequences, then

- (1) for each $m \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, f_m is an eigenvector of TU^* and g_m is an eigenvector of UT^* , and the corresponding eigenvalues are conjugates.
- (2) for $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^J$ the sequence of distinct eigenvalues of TU^* , there exists a sequence of indexing sets $\{I_j\}_{j=1}^J$ with $\bigcup_{j=1}^J I_j = \{1, \dots, N\}$, such that $\{f_m\}_{m \in I_j}$ and $\{g_m\}_{m \in I_j}$ are λ_j -generalized dual frames.

Proof. (1) Since $(\{f_n\}_{n=1}^N, \{g_n\}_{n=1}^N) \in \tilde{S}(\{\alpha_m\}_{m=1}^N)$ is a critical pair of sequences, for $m \in 1, \dots, N$ there exists $c \in K$ such that

$$\sum_{n=1, n \neq m}^N \langle f_m, g_n \rangle f_n = cf_m \text{ and } \sum_{n=1, n \neq m}^N \langle g_m, f_n \rangle g_n = \bar{c}g_m. \quad (8)$$

So,

$$TU^*f_m = \langle f_m, g_m \rangle f_m + \sum_{n=1, n \neq m}^N \langle f_m, g_n \rangle f_n = \alpha_m f_m + cf_m = (\alpha_m + c)f_m,$$

and

$$UT^*g_m = \sum_{n=1, n \neq m}^N \langle g_m, f_n \rangle g_n = \langle g_m, f_m \rangle g_m + \sum_{n=1, n \neq m}^N \langle g_m, f_n \rangle g_n = (\overline{\alpha_m} + \bar{c})g_m,$$

i.e. f_m is an eigenvector of TU^* and g_m is an eigenvector of UT^* and the eigenvalues are conjugates.

- (2) Let $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^J$ be the sequence of distinct eigenvalues of TU^* . Since $(TU^*)^* = UT^*$, the eigenvalues of UT^* are the conjugates of the eigenvalues of TU^* . We call $\{R_j\}_{j=1}^J$ the set of all right eigenvectors of TU^* , and $\{L_j\}_{j=1}^J$ the set of all left eigenvectors of TU^* , i.e. for each $j = 1, \dots, J$ we have:

$$R_j = \{f \in \mathbb{H}_d : TU^*f = \lambda_j f\} = \{f \in \mathbb{H}_d : f^*UT^* = \overline{\lambda_j}f^*\}$$

$$L_j = \{g \in \mathbb{H}_d : g^*TU^* = \lambda_j g^*\} = \{g \in \mathbb{H}_d : UT^*g = \overline{\lambda_j}g\}$$

We know that if $i \neq j$ then $R_i \perp L_j$.

Let $\{I_j\}_{j=1}^J$ be the sequence of indexing sets given by

$$I_j = \{m \in \{1, \dots, N\} : TU^*f_m = \lambda_j f_m \text{ and } UT^*g_m = \overline{\lambda_j} g_m\}.$$

Take $j \in \{1, \dots, J\}$ and $f \in R_j$. If $m \notin I_j$ then $m \in I_i$ for some $i \neq j$, hence $g_m \in L_i$ following that $\langle f, g_m \rangle = 0$. This yields

$$\sum_{m \in I_j} \langle f, g_m \rangle f_m = TU^*f = \lambda_j f.$$

Analogously we obtain that for $f \in L_j$

$$\sum_{m \in I_j} \langle f, f_m \rangle g_m = UT^*f = \overline{\lambda_j} f,$$

So, since $\text{span}\{f_m\}_{m \in I_j} \subseteq R_j$, and $\text{span}\{g_m\}_{m \in I_j} \subseteq L_j$, we have that

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{m \in I_j} \langle f, g_m \rangle f_m = TU^*f = \lambda_j f, & \text{for all } f \in \text{span}\{f_m\}_{m \in I_j} \text{ and} \\ \sum_{m \in I_j} \langle f, f_m \rangle g_m = UT^*f = \overline{\lambda_j} f, & \text{for all } f \in \text{span}\{g_m\}_{m \in I_j}, \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

i.e. $\{f_m\}_{m \in I_j}$ and $\{g_m\}_{m \in I_j}$ are λ_j -generalized dual frames. Moreover, we proved that if $\lambda_j \neq 0$ then $\text{span}\{f_m\}_{m \in I_j} = R_j$ and $\text{span}\{g_m\}_{m \in I_j} = L_j$.

□

Now we describe the structure of the pairs that are local extrema of the real and the imaginary part of the restricted frame potential. As we will see in Proposition 4, under certain conditions the same structure is also valid for pairs that are local extrema of the real or the imaginary part of the restricted frame potential.

Theorem 2. *Let $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^N \subset K \setminus \{0\}$. Then every pair $(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N)$ which is a local extrema of the real and the imaginary part of the mixed frame potential $\widetilde{FP} : \tilde{S}(\{\alpha_m\}_{m=1}^N) \longrightarrow K$, can be decomposed as*

$$(\{f_m\}_{m \in \mathcal{I}^c} \cup \{f_m\}_{m \in \mathcal{I}}, \{g_m\}_{m \in \mathcal{I}^c} \cup \{g_m\}_{m \in \mathcal{I}}),$$

where

- (a) $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$
- (b) $\{f_m\}_{m \in \mathcal{I}^c}$ and $\{g_m\}_{m \in \mathcal{I}^c}$ are generalized biorthogonal sequences
- (c) $\{f_m\}_{m \in \mathcal{I}} \subset (\text{span}\{g_m\}_{m \in \mathcal{I}^c})^\perp$ and $\{g_m\}_{m \in \mathcal{I}} \subset (\text{span}\{f_m\}_{m \in \mathcal{I}^c})^\perp$ and $\{f_m\}_{m \in \mathcal{I}}$ and $\{g_m\}_{m \in \mathcal{I}}$ are A -generalized dual frames, where

$$A = \frac{\sum_{m \in \mathcal{I}} \alpha_m}{\dim(\text{span}\{f_m\}_{m \in \mathcal{I}})}.$$

Proof. Let $(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N)$ be a local extrema of the real and the imaginary part of the mixed frame potential $\widetilde{FP} : \tilde{S}(\{\alpha_m\}_{m=1}^N) \longrightarrow K$.

- (1) We have that in particular $(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N)$ is a critical pair of sequences, so by Theorem 1, for each $m \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, f_m is an eigenvector of

TU^* and g_m is an eigenvector of UT^* , and the corresponding eigenvalues are conjugates.

- (2) Let $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^J$ the sequence of distinct eigenvalues of TU^* , where λ_J is an eigenvalue of TU^* which satisfies that $|\lambda_J| \leq |\lambda_j|$, for all $j < J$.

Take $\{I_j\}_{j=1}^J$ the sequence of indexing sets given by

$$I_j = \{m \in \{1, \dots, N\} : TU^* f_m = \lambda_j f_m \text{ and } UT^* g_m = \overline{\lambda_j} g_m\}.$$

By Theorem 1 $\{f_m\}_{m \in I_j}$ and $\{g_m\}_{m \in I_j}$ are λ_j -generalized dual frames for all $j = 1, \dots, J$.

- (3) We will show that $\{f_m\}_{m \in I_j}$ is linearly independent in R_j for any $j < J$. The proof that $\{g_m\}_{m \in I_j}$ is linearly independent in L_j for any $j < J$ is analogous.

Assume that $\{f_m\}_{m \in I_j}$ is not l.i. in R_j for some $j = 1, \dots, J-1$. Then there exists a nonzero sequence of $\{r_m\}_{m \in I_j} \subset K$ such that $|r_m| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ for all $m \in I_j$ and $\sum_{m \in I_j} \overline{r_m} \alpha_m f_m = 0$.

We will assume without loss of generality that $(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N)$ minimizes the real part of the mixed frame potential. The other cases can be proved in a similar way.

- a) If $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_j) < 0$ we take $h_1 \in R_j$ and $h_2 \in L_j$, such that $\langle h_1, h_2 \rangle = 1$.

Let $m \in 1, \dots, N$ and $u_m \in K$ such that $u_m^2 = \alpha_m$. We define for each $m \in 1, \dots, N$ $\Psi_m : (-1, 1) \rightarrow S(\alpha_m)$, $\Psi_m(t) = (\beta_m(t), \gamma_m(t))$ where

$$\beta_m(t) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{1 - \operatorname{sgn}(\operatorname{Re}(\alpha_m \lambda_j)) t^2} |r_m|^2 f_m + t r_m u_m h_1, & m \in I_j; \\ f_m, & m \notin I_j \end{cases}$$

and

$$\gamma_m(t) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{1 - \operatorname{sgn}(\operatorname{Re}(\alpha_m \lambda_j)) t^2} |r_m|^2 g_m + t r_m u_m h_2, & m \in I_j; \\ g_m, & m \notin I_j. \end{cases}$$

We have that $\{\Psi_m(0)\}_{m=1}^N = (\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N)$ and

$$\operatorname{Re}(\widetilde{FP})(\{\Psi_m(t)\}_{m=1}^N) = \operatorname{Re} \sum_{m=1}^N \sum_{n=1}^N \langle \beta_m(t), \gamma_n(t) \rangle \langle \beta_n(t), \gamma_m(t) \rangle.$$

By the product rule

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d \operatorname{Re}(\widetilde{FP})}{dt}(\{\Psi_m(0)\}_{m=1}^N) &= \operatorname{Re} \sum_{m \in I_j} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle r_m u_m h_1, g_n \rangle \langle f_n, g_m \rangle + \\ &+ \operatorname{Re} \sum_{m \in I_j} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle f_m, r_n u_n h_2 \rangle \langle g_m, f_n \rangle + \\ &+ \operatorname{Re} \sum_{m \in I_j} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle f_m, g_n \rangle \langle f_n, r_m u_m h_2 \rangle + \\ &+ \operatorname{Re} \sum_{m \in I_j} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle f_m, g_n \rangle \langle r_n u_n h_1, g_m \rangle = \\ &S_1 + S_2 + S_3 + S_4. \end{aligned}$$

$S_1 = 0$ since for $m \in I_j$, we have that $\langle f_n, g_m \rangle = 0$ for $n \notin I_j$ and $\langle h_1, g_n \rangle = 0$ for $n \in I_j$ because $h_1 \in R_J$. In a similar way we see that S_2, S_3 and $S_4 = 0$. Hence we obtain

$$\frac{dRe(\widetilde{FP})}{dt}(\{\Psi_m(0)\}_{m=1}^N) = 0.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 Re(\widetilde{FP})}{dt^2}(\{\Psi_m(0)\}_{m=1}^N) &= Re \sum_{m \in I_j} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle \beta_m''(0), \gamma_n(0) \rangle \langle \beta_n(0), \gamma_m(0) \rangle + \\ &+ Re \sum_{m \in I_j} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle \beta_m'(0), \gamma_n'(0) \rangle \langle \beta_n(0), \gamma_m(0) \rangle + Re \sum_{m \in I_j} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle \beta_m'(0), \gamma_n(0) \rangle \langle \beta_n(0), \gamma_m'(0) \rangle + \\ &+ Re \sum_{m \in I_j} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle \beta_m'(0), \gamma_n(0) \rangle \langle \beta_n'(0), \gamma_m(0) \rangle + Re \sum_{m \in I_j} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle \beta_m'(0), \gamma_n'(0) \rangle \langle \beta_n(0), \gamma_m(0) \rangle + \\ &+ Re \sum_{m \in I_j} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle \beta_m(0), \gamma_n''(0) \rangle \langle \beta_n(0), \gamma_m(0) \rangle + Re \sum_{m \in I_j} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle \beta_m(0), \gamma_n'(0) \rangle \langle \beta_n(0), \gamma_m'(0) \rangle + \\ &+ Re \sum_{m \in I_j} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle \beta_m(0), \gamma_n'(0) \rangle \langle \beta_n'(0), \gamma_m(0) \rangle + Re \sum_{m \in I_j} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle \beta_m'(0), \gamma_n(0) \rangle \langle \beta_n(0), \gamma_m'(0) \rangle + \\ &+ Re \sum_{m \in I_j} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle \beta_m(0), \gamma_n'(0) \rangle \langle \beta_n(0), \gamma_m''(0) \rangle + Re \sum_{m \in I_j} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle \beta_m(0), \gamma_n(0) \rangle \langle \beta_n(0), \gamma_m''(0) \rangle + \\ &+ Re \sum_{m \in I_j} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle \beta_m(0), \gamma_n(0) \rangle \langle \beta_n'(0), \gamma_m'(0) \rangle + Re \sum_{m \in I_j} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle \beta_m'(0), \gamma_n(0) \rangle \langle \beta_n'(0), \gamma_m(0) \rangle + \\ &+ Re \sum_{m \in I_j} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle \beta_m(0), \gamma_n'(0) \rangle \langle \beta_n'(0), \gamma_m(0) \rangle + Re \sum_{m \in I_j} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle \beta_m(0), \gamma_n(0) \rangle \langle \beta_n'(0), \gamma_m'(0) \rangle + \\ &+ Re \sum_{m \in I_j} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle \beta_m(0), \gamma_n(0) \rangle \langle \beta_n''(0), \gamma_m(0) \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{16} S_i. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain that $S_2 = S_4 = S_5 = S_7 = S_{10} = S_{12} = S_{13} = S_{15} = 0$ and $S_1 = S_6 = S_{11} = S_{16} = -\sum_{m \in I_j} |r_m|^2 |Re(\alpha_m) \lambda_j|$. For the rest of the sums $S_3 = S_8 = S_9 = S_{14} = Re(\lambda_J) \sum_{m \in I_j} |r_m|^2 |\alpha_m|$. Finally

$$\frac{d^2 Re(\widetilde{FP})}{dt^2}(\{\Psi_m(0)\}_{m=1}^N) = 4 \left(- \sum_{m \in I_j} |r_m|^2 |Re(\alpha_m \lambda_j)| + Re(\lambda_J) \sum_{m \in I_j} |r_m|^2 |\alpha_m| \right),$$

thus $\frac{d^2 Re(\widetilde{FP})}{dt^2}(\{\Psi_m(0)\}_{m=1}^N) < 0$, since the sequence $\{r_m\}_{m=1}^N$ is nonzero by assumption.

So in $t = 0$ there is a maximum of $Re(\widetilde{FP})$ restricted to $\{\Psi_m(t)\}_{m=1}^N$, i.e. we have that for all $t \in (-1, 1)$

$$Re(\widetilde{FP})(\{\Psi_m(t)\}_{m=1}^N) < Re(\widetilde{FP})(\{\Psi_m(0)\}_{m=1}^N) = Re(\widetilde{FP})(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N)$$

which is a contradiction since we assumed that $(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N)$ is a local minimizer of $Re(\widetilde{FP})$.

- b) If $Re(\lambda_J) \geq 0$ we use the same function $\Psi_m(t) = (\beta_m(t), \gamma_m(t))$ as defined in a), but choose $h_1 \in R_J$, $h_2 \in L_J$ such that $\langle h_1, h_2 \rangle = -1$. Analogously as in a) we obtain

$$\frac{dRe(\widetilde{FP})}{dt}(\{\Psi_m(0)\}_{m=1}^N) = 0.$$

For the second derivative we have

$$\frac{d^2 Re(\widetilde{FP})}{dt^2}(\{\Psi_m(0)\}_{m=1}^N) = 4 \left(\sum_{m \in I_j} -|r_m|^2 |Re(\alpha_m \lambda_j)| - Re(\lambda_J) \sum_{m \in I_j} |r_m|^2 |\alpha_m| \right).$$

If $Re(\lambda_J) > 0$, we know that $\frac{d^2 Re(\widetilde{FP})}{dt^2}(\{\Psi_m(0)\}_{m=1}^N) < 0$.

So in $t = 0$ there is also a maximum of $Re(\widetilde{FP})$ restricted to $\{\Psi_m(t)\}_{m=1}^N$, which is again a contradiction since $(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N)$ is a local minimizer of $Re(\widetilde{FP})$.

Now consider $Re(\lambda_J) = 0$. Let $m_0 \in I_j$ such that $r_{m_0} \neq 0$. If $Re(\alpha_{m_0} \lambda_j) \neq 0$, then $\frac{d^2 Re(\widetilde{FP})}{dt^2}(\{\Psi_m(0)\}_{m=1}^N) < 0$ and we are done.

If $Re(\alpha_{m_0} \lambda_j) = 0$, we are in the only case where we use the hypothesis that we also have a local extrema in the imaginary part of the restricted mixed frame potential.

Observe that if $j < J$ then $\lambda_j \neq 0$, since $|\lambda_j| \geq |\lambda_J|$ and $\lambda_j \neq \lambda_J$. Also, we have that $\alpha_{m_0} \neq 0$. So $\alpha_{m_0} \lambda_j \neq 0$, thus we know that if $Re(\alpha_{m_0} \lambda_j) = 0$, necessarily $Im(\alpha_{m_0} \lambda_j) \neq 0$. Using the same curve $\{\Psi_m(t)\}_{m=1}^N$, but replacing in the definition $Re(\alpha_m \lambda_j)$ by $Im(\alpha_m \lambda_j)$ in case there is a minimum in the imaginary part, and by $-Im(\alpha_m \lambda_j)$ in case there is a maximum, we also arrive to a contradiction for this particular case.

Hence we can conclude that $\{f_m\}_{m \in I_j}$ is linearly independent in R_j .

- (4) As we observed before, if $j < J$ then $\lambda_j \neq 0$. Let w_j be such that $w_j^2 = \lambda_j$.

We will prove that $\{\frac{1}{w_j} f_n\}_{n \in I_j}$ and $\{\frac{1}{\overline{w_j}} g_n\}_{n \in I_j}$ are biorthogonal sequences for $j < J$:

By item (3) we have that $\{\frac{1}{w_j} f_n\}_{n \in I_j}$ is l.i. in R_j and $\{\frac{1}{\overline{w_j}} g_n\}_{n \in I_j}$ is l.i. in L_j . In item (2) we showed that for all $f \in R_j$,

$$\sum_{m \in I_j} \langle f, g_m \rangle f_m = \lambda_j f,$$

so

$$\sum_{m \in I_j} \langle f, \frac{g_m}{\overline{w_j}} \rangle \frac{f_m}{w_j} = f.$$

We also proved that for $f \in L_j$,

$$\sum_{m \in I_j} \langle f, f_m \rangle g_m = \lambda_j f,$$

so $\{\frac{1}{w_j}f_n\}_{n \in I_j}$ and $\{\frac{1}{w_j}g_n\}_{n \in I_j}$ are a basis of R_j and L_j respectively. Hence for $l \in I_j$ we have $f_l \in R_j$ and $g_l \in L_j$ and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{m \in I_j} \langle f_l, \frac{g_m}{w_j} \rangle \frac{f_m}{w_j} - f_l \\ &= \left(\langle \frac{f_l}{w_j}, \frac{g_l}{w_j} \rangle - 1 \right) f_l + \sum_{m \in I_j, m \neq l} \langle \frac{f_l}{w_j}, \frac{g_m}{w_j} \rangle f_m. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{\frac{1}{w_j}f_n\}_{n \in I_j}$ is a basis in R_j it follows that $\langle \frac{f_l}{w_j}, \frac{g_m}{w_j} \rangle = 0$ for any $l \in I_j$, $l \neq m$, m , and $\langle \frac{f_l}{w_j}, \frac{g_l}{w_j} \rangle = 1$ and so we obtain the result.

Observe that in particular we saw that if $m \in I_j$, $j < J$ we have that $\alpha_m = \lambda_j$.

(5) By item (2) we have that for all $f \in L_J$

$$\sum_{j \in I_J} \langle f, f_j \rangle g_j = \lambda_J f.$$

Let $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\dim L_J}$ be an orthonormal basis in L_J . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\dim L_J} \sum_{m \in I_J} \alpha_m &= \frac{1}{\dim L_J} \sum_{m \in I_J} \langle f_m, g_m \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\dim L_J} \sum_{m \in I_J} \langle f_m, \sum_{j=1}^{\dim L_J} \langle g_m, e_j \rangle e_j \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\dim L_J} \sum_{m \in I_J} \sum_{j=1}^{\dim L_J} \langle f_m, e_j \rangle \langle g_m, e_j \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\dim L_J} \frac{1}{\dim L_J} \langle \sum_{m \in I_J} \langle f_m, e_j \rangle g_m, e_j \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\dim L_J} \frac{1}{\dim L_J} \lambda_J \langle e_j, e_j \rangle = \lambda_J. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\dim R_J} \sum_{m \in I_J} \alpha_m = \lambda_J.$$

Finally, we obtain the decomposition

$$\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N = \{f_m\}_{m \in I_{J^c}} \cup \{f_m\}_{m \in I_J}^N$$

and

$$\{g_m\}_{m=1}^N = \{g_m\}_{m \in I_{J^c}} \cup \{g_m\}_{m \in I_J}.$$

By item (4) we have that $\{f_m\}_{m \in I_{J^c}}$ and $\{g_m\}_{m \in I_{J^c}}$ are generalized biorthogonal sequences. From item (2) and (5) it follows that $\{f_m\}_{m \in I_J}$ and $\{g_m\}_{m \in I_J}$ are λ_J -generalized dual frames where $\lambda_J = \frac{1}{\dim L_J} \sum_{m \in I_J} \alpha_m$. So, setting $I = I_J$, we have the desired result.

□

As mentioned before, under some additional hypothesis we can assure the same structure for a pair that is a local extrema of the real or the imaginary part of the restricted frame potential:

Proposition 4. *Let $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^N \subset K_{\neq 0}$ and $(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N) \in \tilde{S}(\{\alpha_m\}_{m=1}^N)$ such that TU^* is injective.*

- (1) *If there exists an eigenvalue λ_J of TU^* such that $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_J) \neq 0$, the decomposition of Theorem 2 can be obtained assuming only that $(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N)$ is a local extrema of the real part of $\widetilde{FP} : \tilde{S}(\{\alpha_m\}_{m=1}^N) \rightarrow K$.*
- (2) *If there exists an eigenvalue λ_J of TU^* such that $\operatorname{Im}(\lambda_J) \neq 0$, the decomposition of Theorem 2 can be obtained assuming only that $(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N)$ is a local extrema of the imaginary part of $\widetilde{FP} : \tilde{S}(\{\alpha_m\}_{m=1}^N) \rightarrow K$.*

Proof. In each case the proof is the same as the proof of Theorem 2, except that we set

$$I = I_J = \{m : TU^* f_m = \lambda_J f_m \text{ and } UT^* g_m = \overline{\lambda_J} g_m\}$$

associated to λ_J (which now not necessarily satisfies $|\lambda_J| \leq |\lambda_j|$ for all $j < J$). The result follows from the observations in item (3) of the proof of Theorem 2. □

We finally obtain the following result concerning dual frames with prescribed scalar products.

Corollary 1. *Let $\{\alpha_m\}_{m=1}^N \subset K$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *There exists $(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N) \in \tilde{S}(\{\alpha_m\}_{m=1}^N)$ which is a pair of dual frames.*
- (2) *There exists $(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N)$ in $\tilde{S}(\{\alpha_m\}_{m=1}^N)$ such that TU^* has only real eigenvalues, $\widetilde{FP}(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N) = d$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\sum_{m=1}^N \alpha_m) \geq d$.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2)

Assume $(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N) \in \tilde{S}(\{\alpha_m\}_{m=1}^N)$ is a pair of dual frames. Then $TU^* = \operatorname{Id}$ and so 1 is the only eigenvalue of TU^* , which implies that $\widetilde{FP}(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N) = d$. By Proposition 2 we have $\sum_{m=1}^N \alpha_m = d$, hence in particular $\operatorname{Re}(\sum_{m=1}^N \alpha_m) \geq d$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1)

Take $(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N) \in \tilde{S}(\{\alpha_m\}_{m=1}^N)$ such that TU^* has only real eigenvalues, $\widetilde{FP}(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N) = d$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\sum_{m=1}^N \alpha_m) \geq d$.

Since all the eigenvalues of TU^* are real, by Proposition 1 we have that

$$\widetilde{FP}(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N) \text{ and } \sum_{m=1}^N \alpha_m \text{ are real and } \widetilde{FP}(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N) = d \geq \frac{1}{d} \left(\sum_{m=1}^N \alpha_m \right)^2. \text{ Since } \sum_{m=1}^N \alpha_m = \operatorname{Re}(\sum_{m=1}^N \alpha_m) \geq d, \text{ we obtain } d =$$

$\frac{1}{d} \left(\sum_{m=1}^N \alpha_m \right)^2$, and so $(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N)$ attains the lower bound of the restricted frame potential. Hence, as we could see in the proof of Proposition 1, TU^* has only one eigenvalue equal to $\frac{1}{d} \sum_{m=1}^N \alpha_m = 1$

On the other hand, $(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N)$ is then also a local minima. So, by item (5) of the proof of Theorem 2, $\frac{1}{d} \sum_{m=1}^N \alpha_m = \frac{1}{\dim L_J} \sum_{m=1}^N \alpha_m$, which says that $\dim L_J = d$, i.e. $(\{f_m\}_{m=1}^N, \{g_m\}_{m=1}^N)$ is a dual frame. \square

Remark 3. If we assume $N > d$, the statements in the previous corollary are also equivalent to say that $\sum_{m=1}^N \alpha_m = d$. This is a consequence of Proposition 2 and of Corollary 3.7 in [8].

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors want to thank Ole Christensen for useful discussions concerning this paper.

S. Heineken acknowledges the support of the Intra-European Marie Curie Fellowship (FP7 project PIEF-GA-2008-221090), UBACyT 2011-2014 (UBA) and PICT 2011-0436 (ANPCyT).

The research of I. Carrizo was supported by the EUCETIFA project of the University of Vienna, CONICET, Universidad Nacional de San Luis and the Technical University of Denmark.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Benedetto and D. Colella. *Wavelet analysis of spectrogram seizure chips*. Proc. SPIE Conf. on Wavelet Appl. in Signal and Image Proc., 512-521, San Diego, CA, July 1995.
- [2] J. Benedetto and M. Fickus. *Finite Normalized Tight Frames*. Adv. Comput. Math., 18:357–385, 2003.
- [3] P. Casazza. *Custom Building Finite Frames*. Contemp. Math., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 345:61–86, 2004.
- [4] P. Casazza, M. Fickus, J. Kovacević, M. Leon, and J. Tremain. *A Physical Interpretation of Tight Frames*. Appl. Numer. Harmon. Anal., Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2006.
- [5] P. Casazza and M. Fickus. *Minimizing fusion frame potential*. Acta. Appl. Math., 107(103):7–24, 2009.
- [6] O. Christensen. *An Introduction to Frames and Riesz Basis*. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2003.
- [7] O. Christensen and Y. Eldar. *Generalized Shift-Invariant Systems and Frames for Subspaces*. J. Fourier Anal. Appl., 11(3):299–311, 2005.
- [8] O. Christensen, A.M. Powell, and X.C. Xiao. *A note on finite dual frame pairs*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 140:3921–3930, 2012.
- [9] I. Daubechies. *The wavelet transform, time-frequency localization and signal analysis*. IEEE Trans. Inform. Th., 36(5):961–1005, 1990.
- [10] I. Daubechies. *Ten Lectures on Wavelets*. SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 1992.
- [11] R. J. Duffin and A. C. Schaeffer. *A class of nonharmonic Fourier series*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 72:341–366, 1952.
- [12] V. Goyal, J. Kovacević and J. Kelner. *Quantized frame expansions with erasures*. Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal., 10: 203–233, 2001.
- [13] P. Massey, M. Ruiz and D. Stojanoff. *The structure of minimizers of the frame potential on fusion frames*. J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 16 (4):514543, 2010.

- [14] C. Heil and D. Walnut. *Continuous and discrete wavelet transforms*. SIAM Rev., 31:628–666, 1989.
- [15] T. Strohmer and R. Heath. Jr. *Grassmanian frames with applications to coding and communications*. Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal., vol. 14 (3):257-275, 2003.
- [16] S. Waldron. *Generalized Welch Bound Equality sequences are tight frames*. IEEE Trans. Info. Th., vol.49(9): 2307–2309, 2003.